In the name of God, the Gracious, the Merciful !

Converting 3 in to 4th Son & his "Similitude" !!!

Very respected all, Assalam O Alaikum W. W. !

Jazak Allah Taala ! Dr. Maqsood Ahmed Sahib, Ameer Sahib UK Jama'at Ahmadiya.

I have told these people in this forum countless times that Hazoor (as) was thinking that Prophecy of Feb.20, 1886 is about one (1) person but Hazoor (as) wrote to Hazrat Haqeem Noor Uddin Sahib (r) that Allah Taala corrected me with "Ilhaam" that this Prophecy was about two (2) people (see Maktoob Sept 04, 1888 and Tadkira page 109)

And then Hazoor (as) very clearly said in this same letter that the first (from his seed, as Allah Taala mentioned in prophecy of Feb. 20, 1886) person was Bashir Awwal who died in very young age and then Hazoor (as) said that the second (and only) person is not born yet.

After that, Hazoor (as) never attached or linked any of his three sons (Bashir Uddin Mehmood Ahmad sahib, Bashir Ahmed Sahib and Shareef Ahmed Sahib) with this prophecy.

As a matter of fact Hazoor (as) wrote on September 1894 to Mian Abdul Haq Ghaznawi that show me any of my Ilhaam or writing where I have linked any of my son with Prophecy Musleh Maud. Hazoor (as) also said "Lanat" on you if you do not show me (see Anwaar UI Islaam RK. Vol 9 page 40).

Then again in 1897 (in Hujja tullah RK. Vol.12 page 158), Hazoor (as) very clearly has said that none of these three sons is Musleh Maud because none of any of my Ilhaam linked any of these sons with Musleh Maud.

And, finally, Hazoor (as) very clearly linked Mubarik Ahmed who was born on 14th of June 1899 with this prophecy of Feb.20, 1886 and according to his (as) ijtihaad said that Mubarak Ahmed is that Maud, Maulood Masood who was promised in Feb. 20, 1886 (see Book Taryaqul Qoloob RK Vol. 15 page 221). Hazoor considered Mubarak Ahmad as second and only missing person as I told you that Hazoor (as) was thinking that Prophecy of Feb.20, 1886 is about one (1) person but Hazoor (as) wrote to Hazrat Haqeem Noor Uddin Sahib (r) that Allah Taala corrected me with "Ilhaam" that this Prophecy was about two (2) people (see Maktoob Sept 04, 1888 and Tadkira page 109)

But we all know that Mubarak Ahmed died in early age and it was irrefutable proof that It was Hazoor's own ljtihaad and then Allah Taala Promised with Hazoor (as) with Ilham that I will give you a Haleem Ghulam (Zaki Ghulam) who will be "MASEEL_E_MUBARAK AHMED". After that Hazoor (as) never had any physical son. And this fact tells us very clearly that this Zaki Ghulam will come from his Jamaat and will be his spirtual son (his family or not is not a question because all believers of any Nabi are his Zuriyyat and children).

We are making it easy to understad but these people are not willing to understand the reality and truth. They just want to sing songs of developments and high dollar budgets. These budgets are not going to help you when you will be presented in front of Allah and His angels. There will be no excuse for you to reject Allah Taala's Promised messenger.

Wassalam, Khaksaar Syed Maulood Ahmed 02.02.2016

In the name of God, the Gracious, the Merciful !

Mr. Ansar Raza,

We all know how much you respect Hazrat Syedna Imam Mehdi (as) and how much respect you gave him (as) when you came for debate with me in Brampton, Onatario, Canada . You considered unnecessary and many times refused to discus Hazrat Imam Mehdi's (as) Ilhamaat and glade tidings and his writings.

I can assure you Ilhamaat (words of Allah Taala) and Hazoor's(as) own writings proving that Mian Mehmood sahib was absolutely wrong and could not be proved as Promised Zaki Ghulam or Musleh Maud till the day of judgement.

Hazrat Haqam Adl's (as) writing to Abdul Haq Ghaznavi by sending lanat not one but two times (in 1894 and then in 1897, first see Anwar UI Islam RK. vol. 9 page 40 then see Hujja Tullah RK. vol. 12 page 158) and saying that if you do not show me any of my writing or Ilhaam where I have said that anyone of theses three sons is Musleh Maud, and then Hazoor (as) said " lanat ho tum par aghar tum na dikhao".

Mr. Ansar Raza, If you still call Musleh Maud (even after knowing these all facts) to any one of the sons of Hazoor (as) who were well and alive in 1894 and 1897 then Hazoor's (as) "lanat" goes to you as well as it went to Abdul

Haq Ghaznavi and Hazoor's (as) challenge goes to you as it went to Abdul Haq Ghaznavi that show us any of Hazoor's Ilhaam or writings were he has mentioned that Mian Mehmood saib is, in fact, Musleh Maud. What ever happened with us in lack of knowledge was our and our elders mistake. Allah Taala has corrected our these major mistakes by sending His Peomised Messnger, Hazrat Abdul Ghaffar sahib (as) therefore, now we should not have any excuses to continue on our wrong beliefs.

Dear respected all, Please note, both of these above writings of Hazoor (as) with "lanat" and with challenge are written by Hazoor (as) after writing "Sabz Ishtihaar" (green paper) which was written in 1888 and therefore these writings reject this self made belief of Mehmoodiyyat that Hazoor (as) has said in "Sabz shtihaar" that Mian Mehmood is Musleh Maud. These both writings and eleven (11) ilhamaat of Hazoor (as) are very clearly saying and telling us that Mian Mehmood sahib was Muftari Illah . He claimed as Musleh Maud in 1944 and then Allah Taala has cut his Jugular vein in presence of his best security team and in front of many thousand people to prove you all with witnesses of three top doctors in Europe and his best friend Ch. Zafar ullah khan sahib who wrote this all in his book, Ahmadiyyat, the renaissance of Islam page 332 published in 1978 and left for you as irrefutable four (4) witnesses. Ch. sahib wrote that all three independent doctors said that his jugular vein is cut partially and nothing could be done, now. No medical treatment is possible.

This is called facing of Promised Punishment of Allah Taala in sura Alhaqa ver. 45 to 48 for Muftari Illah and kazib. No one is better than Allah Taala when it comes to fulfill His promise.

Fear Allah Taala because finally we all will be answerable to him.

Wassalam, Syed Maulood Ahmed